

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/559,097	SANZ MOLINERO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VINOD KUMAR	1638	

All Participants:

(1) VINOD KUMAR.

(2) Barbara Johnson.

Date of Interview: 15 August 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Meeta Mistry.

(4) _____.

Time: 14:00

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs, 35 USC 102(b), 35 USC 102(e) and 35 USC 103(a) rejections on record.

Claims discussed:

22-33

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art on record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A general discussion pertaining to the rejections on record was done. Applicant also discussed the teachings of the prior art on record. Applicant indicated that the inventors may provide additional data pertaining to the claimed invention.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Vinod Kumar/
Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)